

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

897951.1

1 I, Susana Cruz Hodge declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner with the firm of Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC, and I
3 am one of the attorneys representing Plaintiffs in the above-entitled action. I am submitting this
4 declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses in
5 connection with services rendered in the above-entitled action.

6 2. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of New Jersey, and I have never
7 been the subject of any type of disciplinary proceeding. I am admitted to practice before the
8 Supreme Court of New Jersey.
9

10 3. I was admitted to the State Bar of New Jersey in 2006 and since 2011 my practice
11 has been devoted to the prosecution of class actions on behalf of plaintiffs. I have served as counsel
12 in numerous class action cases. The cases I have prosecuted include products liability and
13 consumer protection claims. See my firm resume, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

14 4. The total number of hours spent on this litigation by my firm is 434.50.

15 5. The total lodestar amount for attorney/professional time is based on my firm's
16 historical (usual and customary) class action rates throughout this case and is \$299,681.25. *See*
17 Exhibit 2.
18

19 6. The figures and amounts reflected in the foregoing paragraph are derived from
20 contemporaneously kept time records that are inputted into a computerized billing system
21 maintained by my firm.
22

23 7. Based upon my experience with other class action matters, I believe that the time
24 expended by my firm in connection with this action is reasonable in amount and was necessarily
25 incurred in connection with the prosecution of this action.
26

1 8. My firm has incurred a total of \$76,678.57 in expenses in connection with the
2 prosecution of this litigation. See Exhibit 3.

3 9. The aforementioned expenses pertaining to this case are reflected in the books and
4 records of this firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records,
5 and other documents.

6 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is
7 true and correct.

8
9 Executed this 13th day of December 2021.

10
11 s/ Susana Cruz Hodge
12 SUSANA CRUZ HODGE
13 Attorney for Plaintiff

14 **ATTESTATION**

15 I, Rebecca A. Peterson, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used
16 to file this Declaration. I hereby attest that Susana Cruz Hodge has concurred in this filing.

17 /s/ Rebecca A. Peterson
18 Rebecca A. Peterson
19 Attorney for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1



FIRM BIOGRAPHY

LITE DEPALMA GREENBERG & AFANADOR, LLC

December 2021

Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC is a general practice law firm, with offices in Newark and Philadelphia. The firm specializes in commercial and complex litigation with a concentration in class action matters in the areas of securities, antitrust, consumer fraud, and insurance sales practices. More detail about the firm and its attorneys appears on its website, www.litedepalma.com.

MEMBERS OF THE FIRM

JOSEPH J. DEPALMA (Newark Office), the Firm's Managing Member, has a vast breadth of experience in many types of class action cases involving securities, ERISA, antitrust, product liability, and consumer fraud. Mr. DePalma also handles shareholder derivative litigation, commercial litigation, and transactional matters for the firm's corporate clients. He has a Masters Degree in Business Administration and a J.D. degree from Seton Hall University School of Law.

Mr. DePalma has served as Co-Lead Counsel for the State of New Jersey, Division of Investment, as Lead Plaintiff in two prominent class actions that have resulted in significant recoveries: *Reginald Newton v. Tenet Healthcare Corp.*, (Tenet Healthcare Securities Litigation), cv-02-8462-RSWL (C.D. Cal.) (\$281.5 million settlement); *In re Motorola Securities Litig.*, Civ. No. 03-C-287 (N.D. Ill.) (\$193 million settlement reached three business days before trial).

Mr. DePalma has also played an active role in obtaining settlements in numerous recognized class actions comprising some of the largest settlements in the nation. Included in



such cases are: *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of America Sales Practices Litig.*, 148 F.3d 283 (3d Cir. 1998) (over \$4 billion paid out in largest insurance sales practices settlement ever) (Liaison Counsel); *In re Lucent Technologies Securities Litig.*, Civil Action No. 00cv621(AJL) (D.N.J.), reported opinions, 2003 WL 25488395 (D.N.J. Dec. 15 2003), 2002 WL 32815233 (D.N.J. July 16, 2002), 217 F. Supp. 2d 529 (D.N.J. 2002), 2002 WL 32818345 (D.N.J., May 9, 2002), 221 F. Supp. 2d 463 (D.N.J. 2001), 221 F. Supp. 2d 472 (D.N.J. 2001) (approximate \$610 million settlement) (Liaison Counsel); *Galanti v. Goodyear*, Civil Action No. 03-209(SRC) (D.N.J.) (\$300 million product liability settlement) (Liaison Counsel); *In re Aremissoft Corp. Securities Litig.*, Civil Action No. 01-CV-2486 (JAP) (D.N.J.), reported opinion, 210 F.R.D. 109 (D.N.J. 2002) (over \$250 million recovered to date; case is ongoing) (Liaison Counsel); *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Litigation*, Civil Action No. 04-1398 (JWB) (D.N.J.), reported opinions, 404 F. Supp. 2d 605 (D.N.J. 2005), 380 F. Supp.2d 509 (D.N.J. 2005) (\$90 million ERISA settlement, the largest settlement ever under ERISA) (Liaison Counsel); *P. Schoenfeld Asset Management, LLC v. Cendant Corp.*, Civil Action No. 98-4734(WHW) (\$26 million settlement after precedent-setting decision in same case, *Semerenko v. Cendant Corp.*, 223 F.3d 165 (3d Cir. 2000)) (Liaison Counsel); *Steiner v. MedQuist*, Civil Action No. 04-CV-05487-JBS (D.N.J.), reported opinion, 2006 WL 2827740 (D.N.J. Sept. 29, 2006) (\$7.75 million) (Liaison Counsel); *In re Tellium Securities Litig.*, No. 02-CV-5878 (FLW) (D.N.J.), reported opinion, 2005 WL 1677467 (D.N.J. June 30, 2005) (\$5.5 million) (Liaison Counsel), and; *In re NUI Securities Litig.*, Civil Action No. 02-CV-5220 (MLC) (D.N.J.), reported opinion, 314 F. Supp. 2d 388 (D.N.J. 2004) (\$3.5 million) (liaison counsel).



Mr. DePalma's years of experience also include the following major matters: *In re Computron Software, Inc. Securities Litig.*, Civil Action No. 96-1911 (AJL) (approximate \$15 million settlement) (Liaison Counsel); *In re USA Detergents, Inc. Securities Litigation*, Master File No. 97-2459 (MTB), District of New Jersey (\$10 million settlement) (Liaison Counsel); *In re: The Children's Place Securities Litig.*, Master File No. 97-5021 (JCL), District of New Jersey, reported opinion, 1998 WL 35167284 (D.N.J. Sept. 4, 1998) (\$1.7 million settlement) (Liaison Counsel); *Arthur Fields, et al. v. Biomatrix, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 00-CV-3541 (WGB), District of New Jersey (\$2.45 million settlement) (Liaison Counsel), and *In re Atlas Mining Securities Litig.*; Civil Action No. 07-428-N-EJL (D. Idaho) (\$1.25 million) (Lead Counsel).

Some of Mr. DePalma's other court approved class action and mass action settlements, all approved in 2010, involved product liability, takeover and ERISA matters. In a complex MDL mass action proceeding involving the illegal harvesting of body parts and the untested surgical implanting of those parts, Mr. DePalma, along with a team of nationally recognized colleagues, achieved a global settlement in a case captioned *In re Human Tissue Product Liability Litig.* (D.N.J.). Mr. DePalma achieved a settlement on behalf of shareholders in tender offer litigation, captioned *In re Alparma Shareholder Litigation*, (N.J. Superior Ct.). In a complex ERISA matter involving two appeals to the Third Circuit, Mr. DePalma obtained a settlement of \$8.5 million on behalf of a class of participants in a retirement plan alleging breaches of fiduciary duties. *In re Schering-Plough Corporation ERISA Litigation*, (D.N.J.).



Mr. DePalma has also achieved excellent results for clients in other areas of litigation. Among other things, he won large settlements for a condominium association on construction defect and legal malpractice claims and has successfully handled securities arbitrations as well.

Mr. DePalma has lectured in the area of real estate law and in complex commercial litigation. He has also served as a member of the New Jersey Supreme Court's District Ethics Committee.

Mr. DePalma was named as a New Jersey Super Lawyer in the 2007-2019 issues of *New Jersey Monthly* magazine. He was also named to ALM's 2012 "New Jersey Top Rated Lawyers," listed under "Business & Commercial."

BRUCE D. GREENBERG (Newark Office) has served as Co-Lead Counsel, Executive Committee or Steering Committee member, or Liaison Counsel in major antitrust, defective products, consumer fraud, and securities class action cases. He also handles sophisticated appellate, commercial and real estate litigation.

A number of Mr. Greenberg's class action cases have resulted in significant settlements. Among his federal court class action successes are a settlement worth more than \$750 million for a nationwide class in *Varacallo v. Massachusetts Mutual Life Ins. Co.*, 226 F.R.D. 207 (D.N.J. 2005) (Co-Lead Counsel), an insurance sales practices case, a \$35.75 million nationwide class settlement in *In re STEC Securities Litig.*, No. SACV 09-01304-JVS (MLGx) (Co-Lead Counsel), a securities fraud case, *Cole v. NIBCO, Inc.*, No. 13-7871 (FLW) (TJB) (Co-Lead Counsel), a \$43.5 million nationwide settlement in a defective products case, a nationwide consumer settlement worth up to \$13 million in *Schwartz v. Avis Rent a Car System, LLC*, Civil Action No. 11-4052 (JLL) (Co-Lead Counsel), a highly valuable nationwide settlement in *In re*



Samsung DLP Television Class Action Litigation, Civil Action No. 07-2141 (GEB) (MCA) (Executive Committee), settlements totaling over \$200 million for a nationwide class in the multidistrict antitrust litigation captioned *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1663, Civil Action No. 04-5184 (FSH) (District of New Jersey) (Liaison Counsel), and another antitrust class action, *In re Liquid Aluminum Sulfate Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2687, Civil Action No. 16-md-2687 (JLL) (JAD) (District of New Jersey) (Steering Committee and Liaison Counsel), which produced settlements totaling over \$90 million for a nationwide class. His efforts as Co-Lead Counsel for certified classes in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (*Zeno v. Ford Motor Co.*, 238 F.R.D. 173 (W.D. Pa. 2006), and 480 F. Supp. 2d 825 (W.D. Pa. 2007)) and in the Superior Court of New Jersey, led to a four-state settlement that afforded full benefit of the bargain relief to consumers in *Pedersen v. Ford Motor Co.*, No. GIC 821797 (Cal. Super Ct.). Mr. Greenberg was also instrumental in *In re Motorola Securities Litig.*, Civ. No. 03-C-287 (N.D. Ill.), where Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC, as Co-Lead Counsel, achieved a \$193 million settlement just three business days before trial was to begin.

Mr. Greenberg's New Jersey state court class actions include a settlement valued at \$8.6 million for a nationwide class of current and former merchants in *Roma Pizzeria v. Harbortouch f/k/a United Bank Card*, Docket No. HNT-L-637-12 (Co-Lead Counsel); a \$100 million settlement for a nationwide consumer class in *Friedman v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*, Docket No. BER-L-7250-01 (Liaison Counsel), a comparably sized settlement for a nationwide consumer class in *Summer v. Toshiba America Consumer Products, Inc.*, Docket No. BER-L-7248-01 (Liaison Counsel), another nationwide consumer class settlement in *Barrood v. IBM*,



Docket No. MER-L-843-98 (Co-Lead Counsel), which afforded class members full benefit of the bargain relief, (Co-Lead Counsel), a settlement for a New Jersey consumer class worth over \$7 million in *Delaney v. Enterprise Rent-A-Car Co.*, Docket No. OCN-L-1160-01 (Co-Lead Counsel), a \$4.5 million settlement for a New Jersey consumer class in *DeLima v. Exxon*, Docket No. HUD-L-8969-96 (Co-Lead Counsel), and an unprecedented settlement in a class action involving a merger, *Rubin v. Mercer Insurance Group, Inc., et al.*, Docket No. MER-C-102-10 (Co-Liaison Counsel), which afforded stockholders the opportunity to review forward looking financial information of the company, thus allowing shareholders to make a more informed decision concerning the merger.

A 1982 graduate of the Columbia University School of Law, Mr. Greenberg clerked for Justice Daniel J. O’Hern of the Supreme Court of New Jersey for the 1982-83 Term. Before joining the firm, Mr. Greenberg was a partner at one of New Jersey’s largest law firms.

Mr. Greenberg appears regularly in the appellate courts. He has argued twelve times in the Supreme Court of New Jersey, three cases in the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, and over 50 cases in New Jersey’s Appellate Division. Over 40 of his cases have resulted in published, precedential opinions, including major decisions on class actions, mass torts, zoning and land use, restrictive employment covenants, real estate brokerage, and other topics.

Among his many other publications, Mr. Greenberg is the author of the chapter entitled “Supreme Court Review” in *New Jersey Appellate Practice Handbook* (New Jersey ICLE), co-author, with Susana Cruz Hodge, of the chapter entitled “Class Action Litigation” in *New Jersey Federal Civil Procedure* (NJLJ Books (1st ed. 1999 and annual supplements)), and author of “Keeping the Flies Out of the Ointment: Restricting Objectors to Class Action Settlements,” 84



St. John's L. Rev. 949 (2010). That and other law review articles that he has written have been cited with approval by the Supreme Court of New Jersey, the Appellate Division, and federal and state courts in other jurisdictions. Mr. Greenberg has lectured on class actions for both New Jersey and Pennsylvania CLE, and he delivered the 27th annual Evangelides Memorial Lecture at Rutgers University's Eagleton Institute on the topic "Class Action Litigation: Who Benefits?" He has served as an expert witness on attorneys' fees in class actions and Chancery litigation. He has also spoken on civil trial preparation, appellate practice and other subjects. Mr. Greenberg also writes the New Jersey Appellate Law blog, <http://appellatelaw-nj.com>, New Jersey's foremost appellate blog, since 2010.

Mr. Greenberg belongs to the New Jersey State Bar Association and was Chair of the Association's Appellate Practice Committee from 2004-2006. He is a past Co-Chair of the NJSBA's Class Actions Committee, a position he held from 2008-2016. From 1991-2006, Mr. Greenberg was a member of the Supreme Court of New Jersey Committee on Character. He was also one of the founding members, and a past Chair, of the New Jersey Law Firm Group, a consortium of major law firms to advance hiring of minority lawyers.

Mr. Greenberg has been named to the "New Jersey Super Lawyers" list, for "Appellate Practice," in *New Jersey Monthly* magazine every year since 2005, when that list was first published, and has twice been named to the "New Jersey Super Lawyers" Top 100, most recently in 2020. Mr. Greenberg has been listed in "Best Lawyers in America®" in 2019 and 2020, for "Appellate Practice." He was also named to ALM's 2012 "New Jersey Top Rated Lawyers," listed under "Commercial Litigation." Mr. Greenberg has an "AV" rating from Martindale-Hubbell.



VICTOR A. AFANADOR (Newark Office) handles litigation and trials of civil and criminal cases. His experience includes public entity tort liability defense, employment related defense of CEPA and LAD matters, police related state and federal civil rights defense, condemnation and redevelopment law, complex commercial litigation, and criminal defense. In addition, Mr. Afanador served from September 1999 through May of 2005 as Deputy Director of Law for the City of Perth Amboy. In that capacity, he provided counsel to the Mayor, the City Council, and City department directors on legal matters.

Mr. Afanador has successfully tried to verdict jury and bench trials in civil rights and redevelopment law matters. He has also tried public entity employee termination hearings before the Office of Administrative Law and numerous matters of many types in Municipal Court. He also litigated and managed the condemnation of sixteen properties in a single municipality.

In addition to his trial work, Mr. Afanador has argued before the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division. His published opinions include *Deegan v. Perth Amboy Redevelopment Agency*, 374 N.J. Super. 80 (App. Div. 2005). Mr. Afanador has also applied his investigative skills in the class action area. He interviewed Spanish-speaking employees and prepared a report for the Court as part of the firm's responsibilities as Class Administrator for an employment discrimination class action.

Mr. Afanador clerked for Judges Mathias E. Rodriguez and Frederick P. DeVesa, Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division Criminal Part, in Middlesex County from 1998-1999.

Mr. Afanador was appointed by the Essex County Executive in September of 2005 to serve as a Commissioner on the Essex County Board of Public Utilities. He is a member of the



New Jersey State Bar Association, The Association of the Federal Bar of the State of New Jersey, Seton Hall University School of Law Alumni Association, the Essex County Bar Association, and the Hispanic Bar Association of New Jersey. He is a 2003 Graduate of the Leadership Newark Fellowship Program and has served on the African Globe Theatreworks Board of Directors, a professional theater company based in Newark, New Jersey.

Mr. Afanador was designated a Rising Star in the May 2006, May 2007, May 2008, May 2009, May 2010, May 2011, May 2012, and May 2013 issues of *New Jersey Monthly* magazine. He was also named to the “40 Under 40” issue by the New Jersey Law Journal in 2010.

SUSANA CRUZ HODGE (Newark Office) is a member of Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC and focuses her practice on class actions. Her primary focus is on product liability and consumer fraud cases. Ms. Hodge has participated in numerous consumer cases, including *Cole v. NIBCO, Inc.*, No. 13-CV-07871 (D.N.J.), a case involving defective plumbing piping, tubing and fixtures that resulted in a nationwide consumer settlement worth \$44 million; *Schwartz v. Avis Rent a Car System, LLC*, No. 11-4052 (D.N.J.), a case involving fraudulent fee charges that resulted in a nationwide consumer settlement worth up to \$13 million; *In re Shop-Vac Marketing and Sales Practices Litig.*, No. 4:12-MD-2380 (M.D. Pa.), a case involving misrepresentation of the peak horsepower of wet/dry vacuums that resulted in a nationwide settlement fund valued at \$174 million; and *Mendez v. Avis Budget Group, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-6537 (D.N.J.), where a nationwide class of over 10 million consumers has been certified.

Recently, Susana was appointed as a member of the Executive Committee in *In re Robinhood Outage Litigation*, No. 20-01626-JD (N.D. Cal.), which was filed on behalf of users of Robinhood’s trading platform who were unable to access their brokerage accounts on March



2, 2020, a day that saw the biggest ever point gain in a single day with Dow Jones Industrial Average rising over 1,294 points, the S&P 500 rising 136 points, and the Nasdaq rising 384 points. Ms. Hodge is also currently actively involved in representing plaintiffs in several consumer class actions involving heavy metals, BPA, and other contaminants and toxins in food, including, *Colangelo v. Champion Petfoods USA, Inc. et al.*, No. 6:18-cv-01228 (N.D.N.Y.), *Zarinebaf et al v. Champion Petfoods USA, Inc. et al.*, No. 1:18-cv-06951 (N.D. Ill.), *In Re: Big Heart Pet Brands Litigation*, No. 4:18-cv-00861 (N.D. Cal.), *Grossman v. Schell & Kampeter, Inc.*, No. 2:18-cv-02344 (E.D. Cal.), and *Zeiger v. WellPet LLC*, No. 3:17-cv-04056 (N.D. Cal.), where a class of California dog food purchasers was recently certified.

Ms. Hodge also represents individual parties in general business disputes arising from breach of contract and fraud, as well as employment-related issues. In her capacity as a commercial litigator, Susana represented a major food and beverage company in recovering millions of dollars fraudulently converted in a Ponzi scheme. She has also represented a struggling local business in closing its doors without filing bankruptcy, which involved negotiating settlements with nearly 100 creditors and successfully pursuing claims against various debtors. Susana has investigated, negotiated, and litigated claims by subcontractors and material suppliers in a range of construction-related contractual disputes. Susana has also filed and defended commercial and residential construction liens, and payment and performance bond surety claims in cases involving public and private construction projects.

Ms. Hodge has briefed and argued before the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, and the New Jersey Superior Court. Ms. Hodge has also participated in appeals to the United States Court of Appeals



for the Third, Seventh, and Ninth Circuits, as well as to the Appellate Division of the New Jersey Superior Court involving constitutional and employment law, rent control, and commercial leasing issues.

Ms. Hodge was one of the first attorneys in New Jersey to handle pro bono appeals in the New Jersey Appellate Division's Pro Bono Civil Pilot Program and has participated in several pro bono matters. Most recently, Ms. Hodge represented an individual in an emergency application to the New Jersey Appellate Division, which led to the client avoiding eviction, and secured a dismissal of a temporary restraining order in another matter that would have imposed automatic prison time for her client.

Ms. Hodge is co-author, with Bruce D. Greenberg, of the chapter entitled "Class Action Litigation" in New Jersey Federal Civil Procedure and has been a panelist in various seminars such as "Significant Developments in Class Actions," hosted yearly by the New Jersey Institute for Continuing Legal Education, and "The Evolving Nature of Class Actions," hosted by New Jersey State Bar Association.

Ms. Hodge is a graduate of Boston College (2001) and Boston College Law School (2005). Prior to joining private practice, Ms. Hodge clerked for the Hon. Thomas J. LaConte, Superior Court of New Jersey, Passaic County. She also taught Legal Writing at Seton Hall University Law School to first year law students prior to joining Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC. Prior to attending law school, Ms. Hodge taught Portuguese, English, and math to young students in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, as part of Projeto Unicom Rocinha, a Brazilian non-profit organization. Ms. Hodge was named as a "Rising Star" in *New Jersey Monthly* magazine from 2014 to 2019 and has since been named to the "New Jersey Super Lawyers" list every year.



MINDEE J. REUBEN (Philadelphia Office) is a Member of the firm and is resident in the firm's Philadelphia office. Ms. Reuben represents plaintiffs across the country in a broad range of antitrust and consumer class action matters, regularly serving as lead, co-lead and liaison counsel and as a member of case-management committees in high-profile, multi-jurisdictional litigation. *Super Lawyers* and *Philadelphia Magazine* have repeatedly named Ms. Reuben as one of Pennsylvania's top lawyers in the field of antitrust, as well as one of the top 50 Women Super Lawyers overall in the state. Mindee has also been named in the 25th and 26th Editions of *The Best Lawyers in America*® for her work in Antitrust Law and Litigation – Antitrust.

Ms. Reuben is currently involved in several plaintiff class action antitrust matters, including *In re: Processed Eggs Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-md-2002 (E.D. Pa.), in which she is co-lead and liaison counsel, and the court has approved over \$130 million in settlements. She is also a member of the steering committee and has oversight of the document review in *In re: Generic Pharmaceutical Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-md-2724 (E.D. Pa.), a member of the trial plan committee in *In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation* (Subscribers), No. 13-cv-20000 (N.D. Ala.), and a member of the deposition team in *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation* (Direct Purchasers), No. 18-cv-8637 (N.D. Ill.). Other recent cases on which she has had a case management role include *In re: Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-md-2420 (N.D. Cal.) (steering committee), *Gordon v. Amadeus IT Group, S.A.*, No. 15-cv-5457 (S.D.N.Y.) (co-lead counsel), and *In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2196 (N.D. Ohio) (executive committee), where the court approved over \$147,000,000 in settlements. She is presently active in a number of other antitrust matters around



the country as well, including *In re Aluminum Sulfate Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-md-2687 (D.N.J.) and *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-8637 (N.D. Ill.).

Ms. Reuben's significant past antitrust matters include *BP Products North America, Inc. Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.) (\$52 million settlement), *In re: Polyester Staple Antitrust Litigation* (W.D.N.C.) (\$50 million settlement), *In re: Electrical Carbon Products Antitrust Litigation* (D.N.J.) (\$21.9 million settlement), and *In re Flonase Antitrust Litigation* (E.D. Pa.) (confidential settlement on behalf of generic competitor).

Ms. Reuben also served as class counsel in the consumer class action of *Fritzinger v. Angie's List*, Case No. 12-cv-1118 (S.D. Ind.), and as co-lead counsel in *Stone v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co.*, Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas, June Term, 2006, No. 2003 (consol. under *Cummings v. Stewart Title Guaranty Co., et al.*, Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas, March Term, 2005, No. 747) (Glazer, J.), both of which resulted in favorable settlements for the class. At the final approval hearing, the court noted that "counsel really did an extraordinary job."

Ms. Reuben is actively involved with the Philadelphia Bar Association, historically having served as Vice Chair of the Association's Bench Bar and Annual Meeting and as Chair of its Women's Rights Committee. Her work on the Women's Rights Committee focused on human trafficking in the United States and resulted in the Association's Board of Governors passing a Resolution in Support of Ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). She is also a member of the Federal Courts Committee, Women in the Profession Committee and Business Law Section.

Ms. Reuben is a founding member of Women Antitrust Plaintiffs' Attorneys, a national organization of women who focus their practices on cartel and other anticompetitive cases.



She has been appointed to serve in the Leadership of the Antitrust Law Section during 2019-2020 as a Member of the Competition/Consumer Protection Policy and North American Comments Task Force, and is co-authoring a chapter in the ABA's *Antitrust Daubert Handbook*. Ms. Reuben is also a Lecturer in Law in the area of legal writing for the LL.M. program at the University of Pennsylvania School of Law. She previously served as an Adjunct Professor of Law at the James E. Beasley School of Law of Temple University.

Ms. Reuben has contributed to numerous comprehensive legal publications, and has spoken on a variety of subjects, including ethics and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Most recently, Mindee was a panelist for the ABA Civil Practice and Procedure Section of Antitrust Law speaking on "Antitrust Class Action Program Series: Class Action Killer or Business as Usual? -- Rule 23(b)(3) and the Predominance Requirement" and a panelist for the ABA Section of Litigation's "Rules Roadshow," on the topic "Precision Advocacy: Reinventing Motion Practice to Win" in Philadelphia.

After earning her joint law and master of public administration degrees from the University of Pittsburgh, Ms. Reuben served as a law clerk for the Honorable Frank J. Montemuro, Senior Justice of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

ALLEN J. UNDERWOOD II (Newark Office) is a seasoned corporate, commercial and bankruptcy attorney who serves as outside general and special counsel to public and private manufacturing, trading, lending and service providers. His corporate and commercial career has as its foundation many years of intensive representations of creditors, debtors, committees and trustees in federal bankruptcy and state law debtor/creditor matters. Representing a distressed entity in workout, forbearance, reorganization (either inside or outside of bankruptcy), wind-



down or liquidation requires certain confidence, experience and mettle. These same characteristics are required when representing companies in strategic growth phases, commercial negotiations and, where unavoidable, civil litigation. Mr. Underwood's extensive experience counseling clients at their best of times and their worst of times enables him to provide fast and efficient advice on the executive level. Mr. Underwood holds a Bachelor of Arts Degree in both History and Creative Writing from Hamilton College, in Clinton, New York. He holds a Juris Doctorate from the Seton Hall University School of Law. He was law clerk to the Honorable Edward V. Torack, J.S.C.

Mr. Underwood is chair of the firm's Corporate, Commercial and Bankruptcy Department. This practice, by definition, encompasses diverse areas of state and federal law, something he both relishes, and emphasizes when describing his work with clients. In the Chancery and Law Divisions, Mr. Underwood has represented corporate and individual plaintiffs and defendants in breach of contract, common-law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty matters, employment cases, and all manner of commercial actions. In the bankruptcy courts, Mr. Underwood has represented a multitude of corporate bankruptcy creditors on administrative, secured, priority and unsecured claims, with many of these matters involving complex issues of securitization, priority, setoff, recoupment, reclamation and possession of collateral. These matters frequently involve related issues (difficult in their own right) of purportedly preferential or fraudulent transfers, demands for turnover, alleged unauthorized pre- or post-petition transfers, assumption and rejection, and the like. Issues of guaranty and indemnity, whether as to principals, corporations, or construction and insurance entities, have likewise proliferated in recent years. Mr. Underwood has also represented creditors in numerous healthcare-related



bankruptcies, including in most of the major hospital bankruptcies filed in New Jersey over many years.

In bankruptcy and insolvency matters, Mr. Underwood's ethos for creditors is to minimize costs while maximizing leverage and recovery. The ability to achieve this, time and again, is the product of efficiency, hard work and experience. That same ethos characterizes his efforts in commercial and transactional matters.

Mr. Underwood is admitted and frequently practices in the state courts of New York and New Jersey, and the Federal District and Bankruptcy Court in New Jersey, as well as the Federal District and Bankruptcy Courts in the Southern, Eastern and Western Districts of New York. Mr. Underwood is also admitted in the District of Columbia, and from time to time as necessary has been admitted pro hac vice before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Notable bankruptcy matters over the years are many, but include: representation of personal injury and wrongful death plaintiffs as creditors in multiple Delaware nursing home and healthcare-related bankruptcies; representation of insurance entities in numerous New Jersey bankruptcies on every aspect of coverage, from pre-filing negotiations to cash collateral and budget treatment, claims filing, plan confirmation, assumption and rejection, claims objections, and defense of avoidance actions; representation of commercial lenders in New York and New Jersey bankruptcies on secured claims; representation of a New Jersey city as creditor of a major real estate development in Chapter 11, and a complex settlement enabling resolution of takings claims, debtor refinance and project completion, maintenance and beneficial modification of the redevelopment plan, a confirmed Chapter 11, and ultimately a successful project that amplified revenue and regenerated a blighted area, representation of a manufacturer of factory equipment



in Delaware bankruptcies on reclamation, administrative and unsecured claims related to immediate pre-bankruptcy factory renovations, and product and work supplied thereto.

Notable non-bankruptcy court litigations include: summary judgment for a plaintiff pension fund on dispositive motion as to multiple conveyances under the Uniform Fraudulent Transfers Act; settlement and payment following litigation to judgment on equipment shipped to Iraq but not paid for in full; settlement of trust and estate litigation in the Chancery Division alleging fraud and defalcation in fiduciary capacities by trustee and executor.

In addition to the above litigation, Mr. Underwood has always simultaneously represented individuals, and public and private corporations (with a distinct preference for closely held businesses) on the panoply of issues that arise for businesses. Notable corporate representations include: reorganization of a deadlocked non-profit and conversion of same to a for-profit entity, with continuing corporate general representation; corporate reorganization of all U.S. subsidiaries of a major manufacturer, streamlining corporate structure and operations, reducing overall costs, and deriving operation and tax benefit thereby, with continuing corporate general representation on all matters; negotiations with the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, resulting in a successful resolution regarding legacy subsidiary plan underfunding due in large part to market fluctuations; internal reorganization of a deadlocked business closely held by multiple generations of multiple families, streamlining of operations, and continuing corporate general representation on all matters.

Notable discrete corporate and commercial transactions include: negotiation and documentation of oil- and gas-related manufacturing supply and service contracts involving the supply of equipment and coordinated projects to public companies and state-owned entities in



the state of Texas and in Brazil, Mexico, Romania, Tajikistan and many places in between; negotiation and documentation of supply contracts for equipment manufactured for U.S. government and military use; routine negotiation and documentation of agreements for the supply of curated data and information from licensed sources.

Mr. Underwood speaks frequently on bankruptcy and commercial matters at private client seminars, and events sponsored by the New Jersey State Bar Association/New Jersey Institute for Continuing Legal Education (NJICLE), the American Bankruptcy Institute, and other industry organizations. In 2014, Mr. Underwood received from Martindale-Hubbell® Peer Review Ratings™ a Peer Review Rating of AV® Preeminent™ in Bankruptcy, Insolvency and Creditor's Rights. This is the highest rating obtainable. In 2011, he was named to the New Jersey Law Journal's top "40 Under 40" List. Mr. Underwood is a member of the American Bankruptcy Institute, the Turnaround Management Association, The Association of Commercial Finance Attorneys, Garden State Credit Associates, and the New Jersey Bar Association, among other organizations, and he remains an associate member of the Bergen County Bar Association.

COUNSEL

STEVEN J. GREENFOGEL (Philadelphia Office) is Counsel to the firm and is resident in the firm's Philadelphia office. Throughout his nearly 45 year legal career, Mr. Greenfogel has specialized in class action antitrust litigation, including many of the most significant multidistrict class action price fixing cases of modern times. He has served as Co-Lead Counsel in *In re Chain Link Antitrust Litigation*, Master File CLF-1 (D.Md); *In re Industrial Silicon Antitrust Litigation*, 95-2104 (W.D.Pa) (which he tried to verdict), *In re Isostatic Graphite Antitrust Litigation*, No. 2000-cv-4965 (E.D.Pa); and *Gordon v. Amadeus IT Group, S.A.*, 15-cv-03457-



KPF (S.D.N.Y.). Mr. Greenfogel also served as one of the main trial counsel as well as co-chairman discovery in *In re High Pressure Laminates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 00-MD-1368(CLB) (S.D.N.Y.) (which was tried to verdict) and *In re Carbon Dioxide Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 940 (M.D. Fla) (which settled after jury selection). In addition to being Co-Chairman of Discovery in *In re Infant Formula Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. MDL 878 (N.D. Fla), Mr. Greenfogel served as one of plaintiff's trial counsel (which settled after jury selection). He has served as a member of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in numerous cases, including, *inter alia*, *In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1950 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), *In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, cv-1819 (N.D. Cal 2007) and *In re Publication Paper Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1631 (D. Ct 2004). Mr. Greenfogel has also played a major role in numerous other multidistrict antitrust class actions, including, *inter alia*, *O'Bannon v. National Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, et al.* cv-091967 cw (N.D. Cal 2009) (A Member of Plaintiff's Trial Team and Co-chairman Discovery); *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1827 (N.D. Cal 2006); *In re Direct Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, No. 02-cv-01486-OHG (N.D. Cal 2002); *In re NASDAQ Market Makers Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1023 (S.D.N.Y.) (Chairman of Discovery); *In re Brand Names Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 997 (N.D. Ill.); *In re Commercial Tissue Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1189 (N.D. Fla); *In re Infant Formula Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. MDL 878 (N.D. Fla); *Cumberland Farms v. Browning Ferris Industries, Inc.*, A.A. No. 87-3717; *Superior Beverage/Glass Container Antitrust Litigation*, 89 C 5251 (N.D. Ill.); *In re Chlorine and Caustic Soda Antitrust Litigation*, 86-5428 (E.D. Pa); *In re Records and Tapes Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ill.); and *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation* (N.D. Ga).



Earlier in his career from 1977 to 1980, Mr. Greenfogel served as an Assistant Attorney General in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and was the first Chief of its Antitrust Division. He was the author of the Commonwealth's Antitrust Law (M.G.L. 93). During that time, he was a panelist at the New England Antitrust Conference in Boston as well as speaking on antitrust matters at various venues in Massachusetts.

Mr. Greenfogel served as a member of the Board of Trustees of Camden County College from 2000 through 2017, having been appointed to that position by Governors Whitman, McGreevy and Corzine. He has been selected fourteen times as one of the Top Attorneys in Pennsylvania by *Philadelphia Magazine* and has an "AV" rating from Martindale Hubbell.

JEREMY NASH (Newark Office) is Counsel to the firm and is resident in the firm's Newark Office. During his career, Mr. Nash has represented millions of plaintiffs in a range of consumer-oriented class actions around the United States. Currently, Mr. Nash represents over 10 million people in *Mendez v. Avis Budget Group, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-6537 (D.N.J.), a consumer fraud class action pending in New Jersey federal court, and hundreds of thousands of people in *Bakov v. Holiday Cruise Line*, No. 15-cv-2980 (N.D. Ill.), a robocall class action pending in Illinois federal court. Mr. Nash has also prosecuted a number of data breach and privacy class actions, including *In re: American Medical Collection Agency, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, No. 19-md-2904 (D.N.J.), *Gullen v. Facebook*, No. 15-cv-07681 (N.D. Ill.), *Norberg v. Shutterfly, Inc., et al*, No. 15-cv-5351 (N.D. Ill.), *Lewert v. P.F. Chang's China Bistro, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-4787 (N.D. Ill.), *Whalen v. Michaels Stores, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-7006 (E.D.N.Y.), and *In re: Horizon Healthcare Services Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, No. 13-cv-7418 (D.N.J.).



Mr. Nash's experiences as a securities and cryptocurrency class action attorney are also noteworthy. He was a member of lead counsel's trial team in *In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Securities Litig.*, No. 02-cv-5571 (S.D.N.Y.), one of only a handful of securities fraud cases tried to a favorable jury verdict in the last 20 years. Mr. Nash also represented plaintiffs in *In re Tezos Securities Litig., No.*, 17-cv-6779 (N.D. Cal.), in which plaintiffs alleged that the Tezos initial coin offering (Tezos ICO), which raised the equivalent of hundreds of millions of dollars, was an illegal securities offering under U.S. law. Though not a securities class action, Mr. Nash also represented the plaintiffs in *Faasse v. Coinbase, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-1382 (N.D. Cal.), in which plaintiffs allege that, in violation of California state and common law, Coinbase wrongfully kept for itself bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies that its users transferred to non-coinbase users.

In addition to seeing cases through to trial, Mr. Nash is accumulating successes as an appellate attorney. He recently prevailed on an appeal to the Seventh Circuit asking that a product liability claim against Danze, Inc., which manufactures plumbing parts, be reinstated. *Duncan Place Owners Association v. Danze, Inc.*, No. 17-3474 (7th Cir. Jun. 16, 2019). In Vivendi, he helped secure the Second Circuit's affirmance of the jury verdict, an "inflation maintenance" theory of loss causation, and other important trial court rulings. *In re Vivendi, S.A. Sec. Litig.*, 838 F.3d 223 (2d Cir. 2016). Several years earlier, after blocking Toyota Motor Corporation's effort to force his client into arbitration at the trial court level, Mr. Nash played a central role in obtaining an order from the Ninth Circuit affirming his client's right to sue over her defective vehicle in court. *Kramer v. Toyota Motor Corp.*, 705 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir. 2013).

Mr. Nash also has a growing New Jersey local counsel practice involving cases that present unique procedural challenges. In *State of Minnesota v. Sanofi Aventis*, No. 18-cv-14999



(D.N.J.), for instance, Minnesota was prepared to allege that several drug manufacturers located in New Jersey acted improperly to increase the cost of insulin products in violation of Minnesota law and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. The State's complaint, however, would contain the confidential results of its pre-litigation investigation and could not be filed publicly. Jeremy was appointed a Special Attorney of the State to, among other things, help it navigate New Jersey local practice in order to file the complaint under seal and to negotiate a protective order with the defendants to govern access to the sealed complaint.

Besides class actions, Mr. Nash represents institutional clients in high-stakes commercial litigation. He currently represents, as just one example, an apartment complex in a defective product and breach of warranty case against a major plumbing parts manufacturer in *Stanford Realty, LLC v. Zurn PEX, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-15188 (D.N.J.).

Mr. Nash is a graduate of the University of California at San Diego (2003) and New York Law School (2006). He is a member of the bars of the states of New Jersey and New York. Mr. Nash is also a member of the Association of the Federal Bar of New Jersey and speaks on topics related to class litigation for continuing legal education (CLE) programs. He was a panelist recently for a CLE program entitled, "Summary Judgment in Class Action Litigation: Plaintiff and Defense Strategies for Filing Motions."

DANA S. SMITH (Newark Office) is Counsel to the firm and is resident in the firm's Newark Office. Ms. Smith represents plaintiffs in state and federal courts across the country in a broad range of antitrust class action matters. She handles cases involving a wide variety of industries, from pharmaceuticals, consumer electronics and hardware, and food and beverages, to chemicals and manufacturing.



Ms. Smith is currently involved in several plaintiff class action antitrust matters, including *In re: Generic Pharmaceutical Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, No. 16-md-2724 (E.D. Pa.) and *In re: Hard Disk Drive Suspension Assemblies Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2918 (N.D. Ca.).

Past significant matters she has worked on include: *In re: Processed Eggs Products Antitrust Litigation*, No. 08-md-2002 (E.D. Pa.), where she was a member of the trial team; *In re: Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2196 (N.D. Ohio); and, *In re: Pool Products Distribution Market Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2328 (E.D. La.).

Concurrent to her work with the firm, Ms. Smith is the executive director of the Complex Litigation e-Discovery Forum (CLEF), which provides educational, advocacy and networking opportunities to elite practitioners in the plaintiffs' bar. In her leadership role, she works with the CLEF board of directors to position CLEF as a valued resource for plaintiffs'-side litigators and to the complex litigation bar as a whole, bringing an important perspective to the eDiscovery conversation.

Ms. Smith is a graduate of Binghamton University (2002) and Fordham University School of Law (2005). She is a member of the bars of the states of New Jersey and New York.

ASSOCIATES

CATHERINE B. DERENZE (Newark Office), was born in Mineola, New York on April 30, 1991. Admitted to the bar in 2018, New Jersey. Education: The College of the Holy Cross (B.A. in Political Science 2013) and Seton Hall University School of Law (J.D. 2018). Catherine's practice is class action litigation.

IMMANUEL O. ADEOLA (Newark Office), is an associate with the firm in our Newark office. He was admitted to the bar in New Jersey in 2019. He attended Trinity College



(B.A. in Political Science 2014) and Rutgers Law School (J.D. 2018). Prior to joining the firm, Immanuel served as an Assistant Prosecutor with the Essex County Prosecutor's Office. Immanuel clerked in the Chancery Division of the New Jersey Superior Court for the Honorable Robert Lougy, who previously served as Acting Attorney General for the State of New Jersey.

ANTHONY ZATKOS (Newark Office) is an associate with the firm in our Newark office. He was admitted to the bar in New Jersey and the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey in 2002 and the bar in New York in 2003. He is a graduate of Seton Hall University (B.S., Mathematics 1996) and the Seton Hall University School of Law (J.D. 2002).

Early in his legal career, Anthony practiced personal injury and civil rights law in New York City, as well as family law in New Jersey. He also served as a contributing writer and editor on The Yudes Family Law Citator, published each year by the New Jersey Institute of Legal Education. Since that time, his focus in practice has been electronic discovery, where Anthony has learned the nuances of this growing field. With this experience, he has worked on cases covering a myriad of matters, including pharmaceutical patents, mergers, due diligence, residential mortgage-backed securities, anti-trust, bankruptcy, fraud, contract, and, most recently, class action. Prior to joining the firm, Anthony has also worked directly with firm members on several class-action matters.

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2**FIRM NAME: Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC****Categories:**

- (1) Written Discovery, Investigations, Factual Research
- (2) Pleadings, Briefs, Pretrial Motions (including legal research)
- (3) Litigation Strategy, Analysis & Case Management
- (4) Experts
- (5) Mediation/Settlement
- (6) Trial Preparation & Trial
- (7) Hearings/Depositions - Preparation and Attendance

Status:

- (P) Partner
- (A) Associate
- (CA) Contract Attorney
- (PL) Paralegal
- (OC) Of Counsel
- (LC) Law Clerk
- (GR) Government Relations

NAME	Status	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Cumulative Hours	Cumulative Lodestar
Susana Cruz Hodge	P	9.25	138.75	18.75	30.75	19.25	0.25	65.75	282.75	\$ 215,537.50
Joseph J. DePalma	P	6.25	2.75	4.75	1.25	9.5		35.25	59.75	\$ 47,800.00
Bruce D. Greenberg	P			1.5				0.75	2.25	\$ 1,800.00
Michael R. Darbee	A	10.75		6.75					17.5	\$ 8,093.75
Katlyn C. Mathy	A		19.75	1.5					21.25	\$ 7,437.50
Catherine B. Derenze	A		9.75						9.75	\$ 3,656.25
Patrick D. Soundy	A		40.5						40.5	\$ 15,187.50
Brian K. Dempster	LC		0.75						0.75	\$ 168.75
TOTALS		26.25	212.25	33.25	32.00	28.75	0.25	101.75	434.50	\$ 299,681.25

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

Firm: Lite DePalma Greenberg & Afanador, LLC

	Cumulative Expenses
Assessments	\$ 69,400.00
Court Reporters/Videos/Transcripts/Publications	
Copying	
Travel - Reasonable Hotels, Transportation, Meals, Misc.	\$ 6,429.04
Messenger.Express Mail	
Postage	
Telephone, Facsimile	
Expert Fees	
Filing Fees	\$ 620.00
Miscellaneous not included in travel	\$ 229.53
Testing	
Total	\$ 76,678.57